Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG): **Mountain Shrubland with Trees R2MSHBwt** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") Modelers Reviewers Michele Slaton mslaton@fs.fed.us Clint Williams cwilliams03@fs.fed.us Joanne Baggs jbaggs@fs.fed.us Crystal Golden kolden@unr.edu Cheri Howell chowell@fs.fed.us Stanley G. Kitchen skitchens@fs.fed.us **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid Assessment Model Zones **✓** Literature Shrubland California Pacific Northwest ✓ Local Data South Central ✓ Great Basin **Dominant Species* ✓** Expert Estimate Great Lakes Southeast **SYMPH** Northeast S. Appalachians **AMELA LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **PRUNU** 12 17 N-Cent.Rockies HOLOD 13 18 16 Geographic Range

Occurs from southwest Canada to the southern Sierra Nevada, western side of the traverse ranges of southern California, throughout the Great Basin, and in the Rocky Mountains from Montana to Colorado.

Biophysical Site Description

Occurs on mesic sites on gentle to steep slopes. This type may be found on all aspects between elevations of 7,500 to 10,000 ft., although it may occur at lower elevations in the northern parts of its range.

Vegetation Description

Mountain shrub communities vary greatly between the eastern and western Great Basin. Dominant shrubs include Symphoricarpos, Amelanchier, and Prunus on mesic sites, with more Artemisia tridentata var. vaseyana, and Holodiscus on dry sites. In Utah. true mountain mahogany (Cercocarpus montanus) is a resprouting shrub that sometimes dominates this PNVG. Ribes, Acer, mountain ash (Sorbus scopulina), and Chrysothamnus are less common. Grasses and forbs may be abundant and patchy. Trees include pinyon pine, juniper, and limber pine. Douglas fir, white fir, and lodgepole pine may be found on more mesic sites.

Disturbance Description

Fire: This is a fire-dependent system, and is strongly influenced by the fire regime of the surrounding shrublands. Dominant species are resprouters (Anderson 2001, Esser 1995, Howard 1997, Uchytill 1990, Zlatnik 1999). Average FRIs vary between 100-200 yrs with longer intervals for older stands. The average mixed severity FRI varies between 25 yrs for younger stands to 100 yrs for older stands with greater tree encroachment..

Avalanche/rockslide: Sites on steep slopes experience rockslides and avalanches that favor resprouting shrubs.

Weather/stress: Severe weather event, such as frost, can cause replacement type mortality every 200 yrs on average.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This type occurs in association or complex with mountain big sagebrush, although mountain shrublands are differentiated here by greater diversity.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R3MSHB for the Southwest model zone, but the proportions of mixed versus replacement fire are opposite in the two regions, probably due to differences in weather and lightning patterns. This PNVG may also be similar to the PNVG R0MTSB for the Northern and Central Rockies model zone, but the Great Basin model has much more frequent fire and more mixed severity fire. There is discrepancy among experts about the amount of mixed severity fire in this system.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data	Literature	Local Data	✓ Expert Estimate

Usually, this community occurs on a small scale, on mesic sites near or within the mountain big sagebrush zone. However, it may occur on mesic sites outside this zone.

Issues/Problems

Dwarf aspen, willows, and alder may be present on moist sites. If those species are dominant, an aspen or riparian model would be more appropriate. Fire regime group is II and III, however FRG III is more likely.

Model Evolution and Comments

Succession Classes Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).								
Class A 5%	6	Indicator Species* and	nd Structur	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early1 PostRep Description		Canopy Position SYMPH AMELA PRUNU HOLOD Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data Indicator Species* and		Min			Max	
			Cover	Cover 10 %			40 %	
	Height			no data		o data		
Grasses and forbs are abundant, as are resprouting shrubs. Shrub seedlings are also present. Replacement fire every 100 yrs and severe weather related mortality will reset the ecological clock to zero. Succession from classes A to B after 5 yrs. Class B 20%			Tree Size	Tree Size Class no data				
			d	e Data (for upper la	ayer lifeform)		
	•	Canopy Position SYMPH			Min		Max	
Mid1 Closed Description		AMELA HOLOD PRUNU	Cover		10%		50 %	
			Height		no data	no	o data	
Shrubs are dominant, and grasses and forbs may be present,	Tree Size		Tree Size Class no data					
especially in gaps between shrubs. Many shrubs are small and immature. Both replacement fire every 100 yrs and severe weather related mortality every 200 yrs will cause a transition to class A. Mixed		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

severity fire every 25 yrs will cause a transition from class B to itself, but this transition has no effect on successional dynamics. Succession to C after 15 yrs.

Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class C 65% **Canopy Position** Min Мах **SYMPH** Late1 Closed Cover 25% 60% **Description AMELA** Height no data no data **PRUNU** Shrubs are dominant, with little Tree Size Class no data **HOLOD** decadence. Grasses and forbs may be present. Small tree seedlings **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. may be present. Shrubs are larger Herbaceous Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: and many are reproducing. Fire and Shrub severe weather events return \Box Tree interval are as in class B. Class C is Fuel Model no data the succession endpoint. However, vegetation will transition to class D in the absence of fire for 60 yrs (three FRIs). Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 10% **Canopy Position** Min Max **JUNIP** Late2 Open Cover 5% 15% PIFL2 **Description** Height no data no data ARTR2 Shrubs are dominant, with more Tree Size Class no data **HOLOD** decadence. Trees are over-topping the shrub canopy. Vegetation is **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. considered open because trees do Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: ⊢Herbaceous not form a close canopy. FRIs are Shrub longer in this class. Replacement Tree fire every 200 yrs and severe Fuel Model no data weather every 200 yrs will cause transitions to A. Mixed severity fire every 100 yrs simply maintains vegetation in class D, which is the endpoint for succession without stand replacement fire. Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 0% Canopy Position Min Max Late1 Closed Cover 0% Description Heiaht no data no data

Tree Size Class

no data

	Upper Layer Life Herbaceou Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	s			differs from do dominant lifefo	ominant lifeform. orm are:		
Disturbances								
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled ☐ Insects/Disease ☑ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	Fire Regime 0 I: 0-35 year II: 0-35 yea III: 35-200 y IV: 35-200 y V: 200+ yea	frequenc r frequenc rear frequ year frequ	cy, replace ency, low a lency, repla	ment severi and mixed s acement se	ty everity verity			
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: Min: Max:	Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.							
		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	105	100	200	0.00952	22		
✓ Literature	Mixed	29	25	100	0.03448	78		
☐Local Data	Surface							
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	23			0.04402			

References

Anderson, M. 2001. Acer glabrum. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis [2004, November 18].

Brown, J. K. and J. K. Smith, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Esser, L.L. 1995. Prunus emarginata. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis [2004, November 18].

Howard, J. L. 1997. Amelanchier alnifolia. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis [2004, November 18].

Howell, C, R. Hudson, B Glover, K Amy. 2004. Resource implementation protocol for rapid assessment matrices. USDA Forest Service, Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, Sparks, NV.

Uchytil, R.J. 1990. Acer grandidentatum. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of

Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis [2004, November 18].

Zlatnik, E. 1999. Amelanchier utahensis. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis [2004, November 18].